<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00 am</td>
<td>Welcome and Introductions</td>
<td>Larry Wittenberg Goodwin Procter LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 – 8:45 am</td>
<td><strong>Session One:</strong> Eight Great Mistakes Entrepreneurs Make</td>
<td>John Brooks Reflectance Medical Michael Bison Goodwin Procter LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:45 – 9:30 am</td>
<td><strong>Session Two:</strong> Device Development and the FDA: What You Need to Know</td>
<td>Joseph Gulfo Electro-Optical Sciences Mark Heller Goodwin Procter LLP Louise Howe Goodwin Procter LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30 – 10:15 am</td>
<td><strong>Session Three:</strong> Understanding and Protecting Your Intellectual Property</td>
<td>Andy Sennett Soteira Inc. Chris Stamos Goodwin Procter LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15 – 11:00 am</td>
<td><strong>Session Four:</strong> Funding Sources and Strategies: From SBIR and Angel to Venture Capital and Beyond</td>
<td>Amy Fredrick MassMEDIC Aaron Sandoski Norwich Ventures Ray Zemlin Goodwin Procter LLP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Eight “Great” Mistakes Entrepreneurs Make

1. Complicating the Company Formation Process
   › Choice of entity (corporation vs. LLC)
   › S corp vs. C corp?
   › Where to incorporate?
   › Beware of angel investors more focused on their tax break than your company.

▪ KISS principle should apply. Focus should be on building your business, not administrative complexities.
2. Failing to Choose the Founding Team Carefully
   - How many “founders”? Ideally more than one.
   - Roles should be clearly defined.
   - Pick advisors that complement the team and add value.
   - Scientific advisors are critically important – they should not only validate the science but also the commercial opportunity (i.e. they are future customers).

- Build a team, meet regularly, promote mutual accountability and work towards a common goal.
3. Failing to Pay Attention to Corporate Formalities

- You chose a corporate structure for a reason – make sure you realize the benefits by keeping up with the paperwork.
- Document equity awards in a timely manner; put in place vesting schedules; file 83(b)s.
- Consider stockholder agreements (voting/ROFR/cosale); avoid giving any one co-founder veto power over your collective destiny.

- Give corporate and legal matters the same respect as your lab notebooks/engineering documents.
4. Falling In Love with the Science

› Remember you are building a business. Thus, your company must solve a significant business problem (i.e., an unmet clinical need) for which customers will pay (and payors will reimburse).

› Fundable companies do not serve niche markets.

› Market research is critical: Who are your customers? Who are your competitors? What is the path to market? How will your product be marketed and sold? Who are your likely acquirers?

- Investors don’t fund science projects. They will assume the technology works and the IP is airtight. Your job is to convince them this is a viable business that will deliver an attractive return on their investment. *Make the business case.*
5. Failing to Completely Understand the Path to Commercialization (and What it Will Cost to Get There)

› You must completely understand the clinical, regulatory and commercial path and be prepared to defend it. (PS - you don’t have to be right.)

› What will it cost to get you from A to B? What are your milestones? Think of these as “valuation inflection points”.

- There is no “right” answer to the question: “How much are you looking to raise?”
6. Undue Concern About Loss of Control and Valuation

- It takes a team to build a successful venture.
- It also takes capital, and investors will want their say.
- Usually, loss of “control” is the cost of bringing in a high-quality investor. Noam Wasserman (HBS) calls this the “Rich versus King” founders’ dilemma.
- Remember that high quality investors are more than just a check book – the good ones are company builders, and their experience and guidance is valuable.

- Focus on winning before worrying about your share of the win.
7. Failing to Raise Enough Money (or Spending the Money You Raise Too Quickly)

› Everything will take twice as long and cost twice as much as you probably think.

› Focus should be on equity appreciation and not salary / bonus. (And don’t even think about asking for founder “buy outs”.)

› Also, undue concern about “dilution” will likely come at the expense of product development timeline. If they money’s there, take it. Raising money is hard.

- General rule is raise as much as you can, when you can, and make it last as long as possible.
8. Waiting for Guffman

› Do not assume you have all the answers and the money will just find you.

› Get good advice. Take careful notes. Network! Iterate.

› At the same time, remember the three “Ps”: perseverance, persistence and passion – these above all others are what separate the winners from the losers.

- Building a business is hard work. You don’t have to convince everyone, but you need to try.

- Build a strong team, get good advice, stick with it. Good luck!
Thank you
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Device Regulation Today

- Transition
- Uncertainty
- Effort to standardize practices
- Supervisory oversight
- Critical to plan and know what you are doing

What are the First Five Things You Should Consider When Seeking to Market a Medical Device?

- Determine regulatory pathway
- Form a submission team
- Devise a regulatory strategy
- Staying power
- Build a robust regulatory and quality organization
What are the First Five Things You Should Consider When Seeking to Market a Medical Device?

- **Determine Regulatory Pathway**
  - Determine the regulatory status of your device
    - Exempt
    - 510(k)
    - de novo
    - PMA
    - IDE
  - If uncertain
    - 513(g)
    - OCP
What are the First Five Things You Should Consider When Seeking to Market a Medical Device?

- Form a Submission Team (Should be first act, almost never is)
  - Build an in-house regulatory/quality team
  - Retain
    - Consultants
    - Counsel
What are the First Five Things You Should Consider When Seeking to Market a Medical Device?

- **Devise a Regulatory Strategy**
  - 510(k)s
    - Predicates – indications and technology (data needed to bridge technology differences)
    - Review route -- traditional review, abbreviated 510(k), or third party review
    - Pre-IDE/submission meeting
    - Just submit
  - PMAs
    - Pre-IDE
    - Pre-submission meeting
    - 510(k) implants and PMA devices - binding agreement meeting
    - Expedited review
    - Lining up expert support
      - Clinical
      - Pre-clinical
      - Statistical
  - IDEs – SR/NSR
What are the First Five Things You Should Consider When Seeking to Market a Medical Device?

- **Staying power – ability to sustain a campaign**
  - Timelines for each major activity to work against/establish milestones
  - Ability to respond to 510(k) AI/NSE Questions/PMA deficiency letters/not approvable letters
    - Answer inquiries
      - Informal resolution of differences
      - Meetings
      - Then respond
    - 10.75 – Supervisory review
    - Dispute resolution – Section 562 FD&C Act/Guidance
What are the First Five Things You Should Consider When Seeking to Market a Medical Device?

- Build a robust regulatory and quality organization
  - FDA focused more than ever on postmarket
  - Major considerations
    - PMAs – need successful inspection for approval
    - 510(k)s – first product, likely inspection within first 6 to 18 months
    - Recalls
    - Reporting – major focus
    - PMS – both PMAs and 510(k)s
Summary

- Uncertain environment
- Must know your rights and the agency’s procedures
- Must be well prepared to sustain an effort, particularly in the PMA context
- Must maintain patience and wisely negotiate and use available appeal mechanisms
- Even now, companies are generally successful bringing devices to market, but it is taking longer and costing more
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IP Protection

What If I Don’t Do Anything?

- You will need to rely on first mover advantage and long term contractual relationships to lock in customers
- Your competitors will adopt your technology and you will have no legal recourse
- You will have difficulty securing investors
- You will be unable to maximize the value of your business
- You will have a much longer path to profitability, if at all
- You may be sued for patent infringement and put out of business
- You may be sued for trademark infringement and forced to rebrand your product or rename your company
What aspects of my company give me commercial advantage and what would I care about, if competitors copy?
- company / product names and branding
- product technical features
- product design

Are these aspects protectable?
- patent new, useful, and nonobvious inventions and ornamental designs
- copyright software code
- trademark names and logos
- trade secret manufacturing methods, black box algorithms, etc.

Have I forfeited the right to patent or disclosed the secret?
IP Protection
What Do I Do?

- Survey the IP and commercial landscape
  - All “prior art” is relevant to patentability
    - Even if it is patentable, it may not be worth patenting
      - do a cost vs. benefit analysis
      - consider maintaining as a trade secret, if possible
  - Claims of third party patents may be a roadblock
    - Patents are negative rights !
    - Analyze issued patents and watch pending applications
    - Options include designing around or licensing in problem patents, or waiting until they lapse or expire
  - Choose company / product names to avoid conflicts
What Should I Do First?

- Educate yourself and develop a comprehensive IP strategy, based on legal advice about your options and your business plan
- Get non-disclosure agreements (NDAs) in place with third parties prior to business discussions
- Get IP assignment agreements (with NDA provisions) in place with all founders, employees, consultants, and contractors
- File provisional U.S. patent applications promptly
  - prior to any disclosure outside the company, even under NDA, for core technology or to potential licensees / competitors
  - prior to public disclosure to avoid forfeiting foreign patent rights
  - within one year to avoid forfeiting U.S. patent rights
- Be realistic about the cost vs. benefit analysis of IP protection
Questions ??
Thank you

For more information, please contact:

Andy Sennett  
asennett@soteira.net

Chris Stamos  
cstamos@goodwinprocter.com
Venture Capital Invested in MedTech

Annual MedTech VC Investment

Source: PWC MoneyTree Report
## Drivers Affecting Start-up MedTech Industry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Driver</th>
<th>Negatives</th>
<th>Positives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare Reform</td>
<td>2.3% excise tax starting in 2013</td>
<td>30 million additional insured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venture Capital drying up</td>
<td>Much harder to raise capital</td>
<td>Less competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Crisis</td>
<td>Large companies reduced M&amp;A</td>
<td>Non-cyclical sales has led to acquirers having cash on hand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alternative Sources of Funding

- SBIR Grants
- State Programs including M2D2 and Massachusetts Life Science Center
- Mentoring Programs
- Friends, Family, and Fools!
SBIR Grants

- To be considered must:
  - identify the technical barrier that is preventing commercialization
  - describe the innovative research that your company will perform to overcome that barrier
  - Indicate what will be measured and how good it must be to prove that you have overcome that barrier

- No barrier – no innovation – no grant!

- This is economic redevelopment money – want the money spent in the U.S.A., preferably to generate new jobs

- Key goal is new products
To Be Eligible:

- Company must be at least 51 percent owned and controlled by one or more individuals who are citizens of, or permanent resident aliens in, the United States
- Independently operated
  - Control your own research space
  - Simple test: Can you lock your own door? Control the key?
- Principal place of business is on US soil
- No more than 500 employees
- For profit entity
- Able to carry out innovative research
- Own your IP
Thank you

For more information, please contact:
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