

NPE LITIGATION

A Tactical Guide for Practitioners

GOODWIN

PROCTER

Boston | Hong Kong | London | Los Angeles | New York | San Francisco | Silicon Valley | Washington DC

www.goodwinprocter.com

NPE LITIGATION

A Tactical Guide for Practitioners
Summer 2014

April Weisbruch

202.346.4306

aweisbruch@goodwinprocter.com

Brian Drummond

617.570.1551

bdrummond@goodwinprocter.com

Martin Gomez

617.570.1836

mgomez@goodwinprocter.com

GOODWIN

PROCTER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Competing NPE Definitions	2
Patent Assertion Entities	3
NPE Activity	7
AIA's Effect on the Raw Data	9
Impact of America Invents Act on NPE Litigation	12
Joinder Provisions	12
Post-Grant Review Procedures	14
Legislative Initiatives	16
Innovation Act	17
Executive Action	19
Potential Impact	20
Key Differences Between NPE and "Traditional" Patent Litigation	22
General Strategy for NPEs	22
Bargaining Imbalances	23
Venue	24
Discovery	25
Contingency Fee Litigation	25
Injunctive Relief.....	25
Damages	26
ITC Actions	32
Case Studies	35
Intellectual Ventures	35
IP Navigation Group	38
MPHJ Technologies	41
Excentus Corporation	43
Arrival Star S.A.	45

APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS

United States Government Accountability Office Report: Intellectual Property, Assessing Factors That Affect Patent Infringement Litigation Could Help Improve Patent Quality.....	Tab 1
The Leahy-Smith America Invents Act.....	Tab 2
State of Wisconsin, 2013 Wisconsin Act 339.....	Tab 3
Virginia Acts of Assembly, Code of Virginia Title §59.1-215.1-59.1-215.4, Ch. 18.1.....	Tab 4
The Innovation Act, H.R.3309.....	Tab 5
House Congressional Record Excerpts, H7511-7556.....	Tab 6